

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/921,072	EMELKO, GLENN A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachary A. Davis	2137	

All Participants:

(1) Zachary A. Davis.

(2) Michael Jaffe (Applicant's representative).
Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested confirmation as to whether a reply had been filed to the outstanding action mailed 01 June 2005. Mr. Jaffe indicated that no reply had been filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)